

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/681,430	04/03/2001	Tadashi Takano	SIMTEK6140	4775
25776	7590 05/02/2003			•
ERNEST A. BEUTLER ATTORNEY AT LAW 500 NEWPORT CENTER DRIVE			EXAMINER	
			PHAM, LEDA T	
SUITE 945 NEWPORT BEACH, CA 92660			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2834	
			DATE MAILED: 05/02/2003	•

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/681,430	TAKANO, TADA	SHI
Examiner	Art Unit	
Leda T. Pham	2834	

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

THE REPLY FILED 22 March 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.

Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CER 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in

	eal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued
PERIOD FOR	REPLY [check either a) or b)]
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing	date of the final rejection.
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply exp	his Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In ire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. VAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the perifee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date	The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension of of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if 37 CFR 1.704(b).
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appella 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 G	
$2. \boxtimes$ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered	because:
(a) 🛛 they raise new issues that would require fu	rther consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Not	e below);
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the applicationissues for appeal; and/or	n in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without can	celing a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .	
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rej	ection(s):
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) wo canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	uld be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request application in condition for allowance because:	for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered by raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ecause it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly
	ent(s) a)⊠ will not be entered or b)⊡ will be entered and an would be rejected is provided below or appended.
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follow	vs:
Claim(s) allowed:	
Claim(s) objected to:	
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-35</u> .	
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on	is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stater	nent(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)
10. Other:	
	NESTOR RAMIREZ
	SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800 Leda T. Pham
	Examiner Art Unit: 2834
S. Patent and Trademark Office	All Offit. 2004

, Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Application No. 09/681,430

Continuation of 2. NOTE: require more search and consideration. Furthermore, in response to the remark of applicant, the claim 1 does not required the first enclose to "include" a cavity, only " form" a cavity which it does in figure 1 (76 and 29).